

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America	
	v.)) Case No. 7:15-CR-93-H-1	
	CORREY ARMOND FULCHER)	
	Defendant)	
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL	
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.	
	Part I—Findings of Fact	
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
	of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.	
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	☐ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
□ (2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .	
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the the defendant's appearance and the	presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the def	endant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the def	endant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
,		Statement of the Reasons for Detention tion submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
☑ Ba be	☐ clear and convincing evider sed on the defendant's waiver of his/her imposed which would reasonably assurer the reasons indicated below, there is no	nce that a preponderance of the evidence that right to a detention hearing, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. In condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably safety of another person or the community. The lack of stable employment
	Part I	II—Directions Regarding Detention
in a corr pending order of	ections facility separate, to the exten appeal. The defendant must be affor	stody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement t practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody rded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On a attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility as marshal for a court appearance.
Date: -	02/16/2016	Judge Signature
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ
		Name and Title